





## UNITED SPATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/432,819	11/02/1999	QUE-WON RHEE	10991663-1	1594	
22878	7590 . 03/31/2003				
	AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 75				PARK, ILWOO	
M/S DL429 LOVELAND	, CO 80537-0599		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2182	7	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/432,819	RHEE, QUE-WON	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ilwoo Park	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	)SS
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper replich places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three magazined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been consecutive consideration has been consideration Sheet.	sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 5.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4, 6-12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exami	ner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other:	(	Move Pak Ilwoo Park, AU 2182	3/26/03
Detect and Tradegrady Office		<u></u>	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's interpretation of Malladi (e.g., data processing core 108 is the logic block of the claim).